



Off-Policy Deep Reinforcement Learning with Analogous Disentangled Exploration

Anji Liu¹, Yitao Liang¹, Guy Van den Broeck¹ ¹Computer Science Department, UCLA

Motivation: Why Reinforcement Learning

Is capable of solving large-scale and complex problems.

Learning through a trial-and-error process with little supervision.









Video games

Autonomous driving

Go

Background: Markov Decision Process



Policy

Determine which action to take given a state.

Value function

Measures discounted long-term reward

$$Q(s,a) = \mathbb{E}_{a_t \sim \pi, s_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{P}, r_t \sim \mathcal{R}} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \mid s_0 = s, a_0 = a \right]$$

Goal of RL

Find a policy π that maximizes the expected long-term reward.

$$\mathbb{E}_{s \sim \rho_0, a \sim \pi} \left[Q(s, a) \right]$$

where ρ_0 is the initial state distribution.

The Exploration-Exploitation Tradeoff



The necessity of two policies¹: target policy π and behavior policy μ

¹For simplicity, sometimes only one policy is explicitly constructed.

Goals

What we want to achieve?

Leverage the flexibility to explicitly design two policies to better balance the exploration-exploitation tradeoff.

How we achieve it?

Analogous Disentangled Actor Critic

Restricting the disentangled behavior policy (**policy co-training**).

Restricting value updates (critic bounding).

Combating the Exploration-Exploitation Dilemma

Expressive behavior policies

e.g. maximum entropy RL objective $\mu(a \mid s) \propto \exp(-E(s,a))$

Exploration

Potential failure on exploitation

Exploitative target policies

Exploitation

Always optimal w.r.t. current knowledge $\pi(a \mid s) = \arg \max_{a} Q(s, a)$

Usually requires uninformative noisebased exploration.

Achieving better tradeoff through the flexibility to design separated policies?

(•_•)

Analogous Disentangled Actor-Critic (ADAC)



Stabilizing Policy Updates by Policy Co-training

Motivation



Obtain expressive while stable and optimal behavior policy.

Stabilizing Policy Updates by Policy Co-training

Key observation

classic policy optimization

 $\pi(a \mid s) = \arg\max_{a} Q(s, a)$

Deterministic policy gradient

Л

maximum-entropy policy optimization

 $\mu(a \mid s) \propto \exp(Q(s,a))$

Deterministic policy gradient + entropy regularization

Joint learning of behavior/target policy in a shared neural network.

Analogous Disentangled Behavior Policy



Benefits

Increase the effectiveness of the behavior policy

- Reduce the distance between two policies and stabilize the learning process.
- Allowing expressive behavior policy for efficient exploration.

Incorporating Intrinsic Reward via Critic Bounding

Motivation



Letting intrinsic reward to only affect the behavior policy.

Incorporating Intrinsic Reward via Critic Bounding

 $Q_{\mathcal{R}}^{\pi}$ value function w.r.t. the target policy and the environment-defined reward \rightarrow Adopted to improve the target policy.

 $Q_{\mathcal{R}'}^{\pi}$ value function w.r.t. the target policy and the enhanced reward, where $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}^{in}$ \rightarrow Adopted to improve the behavior policy.

Theoretical justification

- Bounded training stability.
- Bounded training effectiveness.

 Policy co-training
 Binds the target policy and the behavior policy to stabilize the training process.

 Allowing expressive behavior policy.

Critic bounding

Incorporate intrinsic reward for effective exploration. Has no effect on the optimality of the target policy.

Analysis of Analogous Disentangled Behavior Policy

Key results

Behavior policy

- Act curiously at the beginning.
- Focus on potentially rewarding actions after obtaining preliminary understanding of the environment.

Target policy

- Remains optimal w.r.t. the current knowledge.

Target policy & behavior policy

- Bias between them significantly reduced.



Comparison with the State of the Art

Out-performs state-of-the-art methods in 10 out of 14 benchmark environments.

- ADAC consistently out-performs its base model, and retains the benefits of improvements developed by the base models.

- Comparison with SAC reveals the benefit brought by the disentangled structure.

Environment	ADAC (TD3)	ADAC (DDPG)		TD3	DDPG	SAC	РРО
RoboschoolAnt RoboschoolHopper RoboschoolHalfCheetah RoboschoolAtlasForwardWalk RoboschoolWalker2d Ant Hopper HalfCheetah Walker2d InvertedPendulum InvertedDoublePendulum BipedalWalker BipedalWalkerHardcore LunarLanderContinuous	$\begin{array}{c} 2219\pm 373\\ \textbf{2299}^{\dagger}\pm 333\\ 1578^{\dagger}\pm 166\\ \textbf{234.6}^{\dagger}\pm 55.7\\ \textbf{1769}^{\dagger}\pm 452\\ 3353\pm 847\\ \textbf{3598}^{\dagger}\pm 374\\ 9392\pm 199\\ \textbf{5122}^{\dagger}\pm 1314\\ \textbf{1000}^{\dagger}\pm 0\\ \textbf{9359}^{\dagger}\pm 0.17\\ \textbf{309.8}^{\dagger}\pm 15.6\\ \textbf{-10.76}^{\dagger}\pm 27.70\\ \textbf{290.0}^{\dagger}\pm 50.9\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 838.1^{*}\pm97.1\\ 766.5^{*}\pm10\\ 1711^{*}\pm95\\ 186.7^{*}\pm37.9\\ 1564^{*}\pm651\\ 1226^{*}\pm18\\ 374.5^{*}\pm36.5\\ 2238^{*}\pm40\\ 1291^{*}\pm42\\ 1000^{*}\pm0\\ 9334^{*}\pm1.39\\ -52.77^{*}\pm1.94\\ -98.52\pm3.21\\ 85.67^{*}\pm23.42\end{array}$	1	2903 [†] ±666 2302 [†] ±537 607.2±246.2 190.6±50.1 995.1±146.3 4034 [†] ±517 2845±609 10526 [†] ±2367 4630 [†] ±778 1000 [†] ±0 7665±566 288.4 [†] ±51.25 -57.97±21.08 289.7 [†] ±54.1	$\begin{array}{c} 450.0\pm27.9\\ 543.8\pm307\\ 441.6\pm120.4\\ 52.63\pm26.2\\ 208.7\pm137.1\\ 370.5\pm223\\ 38.93\pm0.88\\ 1009\pm49\\ 186.2\pm33.3\\ \textbf{1000}^{*}\pm0\\ 27.20\pm2.61\\ -123.90\pm11.17\\ -50.05^{*}\pm10.27\\ -65.89\pm96.48\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{2726} \pm 652 \\ 2089 \pm 657 \\ 807.0 \pm 252.6 \\ 126.0 \pm 47.1 \\ 1021 \pm 263 \\ \textbf{4291} \pm 1498 \\ \textbf{3307} \pm 825 \\ \textbf{11541} \pm 2989 \\ 4067 \pm 1211 \\ \textbf{1000} \pm 0 \\ 9353 \pm 2896 \\ \textbf{307.2} \pm 57.92 \\ -127.4 \pm 45.2 \\ 283.3 \pm 69.29 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1280 \pm 71 \\ 1229 \pm 345 \\ 1225 \pm 184.2 \\ 107.6 \pm 29.4 \\ 578.9 \pm 231.3 \\ 1401 \pm 168 \\ 1555 \pm 458 \\ 881.7 \pm 10.1 \\ 1146 \pm 368 \\ 98.90 \pm 2.08 \\ 98.90 \pm 2.08 \\ 98.90 \pm 5.88 \\ 266.9 \pm 28.52 \\ -105.3 \pm 22.2 \\ 59.32 \pm 68.44 \end{array}$

Why intrinsic reward sometimes harms RL



Environment-defined reward stays the same while intrinsic reward keep growing.

ADAC with Intrinsic Reward

ADAC out-performs baseline methods on challenging sparse-reward tasks when using intrinsic reward.





Thank You

Open source code: github.com/UCLA-StarAI/Analogous-Disentangled-Actor-Critic